## How Well Do Relational Database Engines Support JSON?

**Christian Antognini** 

trivadis

makes IT easier

#### @ChrisAntognini **#** ITOUGTD19



#### @ChrisAntognini

Senior principal consultant, trainer and partner at Trivadis

- christian.antognini@trivadis.com
- Focus: get the most out of database engines
- Logical and physical database design
- Query optimizer
- Application performance management

Author of Troubleshooting Oracle Performance (Apress, 2008/14)

OakTable Network, Oracle ACE Director







- 1. Introduction
- 2. Generating JSON Data
- 3. Storing JSON Data
- 4. Querying JSON Data
- 5. Summary
- 6. Bibliography



## Introduction



#### Multi-Model Database Engines

A single data model does not fit all requirements

Most of the time the effort of using several database engines is too high

Most leading database engines (incl. RDBMS) are *de facto* multi model

Even though data is stored in a relation model, it might be required to provide it in another format





#### **JSON Use Cases**

Export data in JSON format

- Predefined JSON schema
- Plain format instead of a CSV file

Import JSON data

- Convert data to relational schema
- Store data as JSON

Implement a JSON document store

Extend a relational store

- Support an extensible schema
- Handle a high number of (sparse) columns





SQL:2016 introduces 44 new optional features; 22 of them are related to JSON

The new features cover the following use cases:

- With SQL queries declaratively generate JSON data from relation data
- Persistently store JSON data into a database
- Use SQL queries to access JSON data according to its structure

What the standard does not cover are features to modify a JSON document



#### Database Engines Under Investigation

MuSQL. Community Server 8.0.14

**DRACLE** Enterprise Edition 18.5

PostgreSQL 11.1

SQL Server<sup>®</sup> Enterprise Edition 2017 (14.0.3048.4)



## **Generating JSON Data**



#### Constructor Functions

SQL:2016 specifies four constructor functions to generate JSON data from relational data

Construct a JSON object

■ JSON\_OBJECT (T811, T814, T830)

■ JSON\_OBJECTAGG (T812, T814, T830)

Construct a JSON array

■ JSON\_ARRAY (T811)

■ JSON\_ARRAYAGG (T811, T813)





#### Constructor Functions – Implementations

NJSQL. It provides the functions, but the implementation differs from SQL:2016 and provides less control of the output; a number of additional functions are available

# **ORACLE**<sup>\*</sup> It supports most of the functionality; some "advanced" functionality is missing

PostgreSQL It provides functions with similar names, the implementation almost matches the one of MySQL; a number of additional functions are available

SQL Server It uses a completely different approach based on the FOR JSON clause

## **Storing JSON Data**



#### Digression – Designing JSON Documents

Representing data as JSON can be considerably more flexible than the traditional relational data model

Both approaches can co-exist and complement each other within the same application

If the JSON documents do not have a somewhat fixed structure, it is challenging to query their contents!



#### JSON Data Type

SQL:2016 does *not* specify a new native data type for JSON data

The proposed approach is to ingest character or binary strings that are stored in ordinary data types

#### Pros

Easier to implement by both database engines and tools

Cons

- No automatic validation
- Not optimized storage format that could lead to suboptimal access performance



#### JSON Data Type – Implementations

MuSQL. Implements the data type JSON

**DATABASE** Implements no data type; VARCHAR2 or CLOB are used

PostgreSQL Implements two data types, JSON and JSONB

SQL Server Implements no data type; NVARCHAR is used



#### IS JSON Predicate

SQL:2016 specifies a predicate to test the validity of a JSON document

- Without uniqueness constraint (T821)
  - No type constraint

CHECK ( <column\_name> IS JSON )

– With type constraint

CHECK ( <column\_name> IS JSON [ VALUE | ARRAY | OBJECT | SCALAR ] )

With uniqueness constraint (T822)

CHECK ( <column name> IS JSON WITH UNIQUE [ KEYS ] )



#### IS JSON Predicate – Implementations

MuSQL. Data type validates content; no type and uniqueness constraints; uniqueness is forced (last duplicate key wins)

**ORACLE** Implements predicate; no type constraint

PostgreSQL Data types validate content; no type and uniqueness constraints; uniqueness is forced (last duplicate key wins) with JSONB only

SQL Server Implements ISJSON function; no type and uniqueness constraints

trivadis makes IT easier.

DATABASE

# **Querying JSON Data**



#### SQL/JSON Path Language

It is a language to query JSON data

SQL:2016 specifies it (T831-T837)

Lexically and syntactically, it adopts many features of ECMAscript, though it is neither a subset nor a superset of ECMAscript



#### SQL/JSON Path Language – Examples



makes IT easier.

#### Query Operators

SQL:2016 specifies four query operators to evaluate SQL/JSON path language expressions (T831-T837) against JSON data

- JSON\_EXISTS determine whether a path expression is satisfied (T821, T823, T825)
- JSON\_VALUE extract a scalar value (T821, T823, T825, T826)
- JSON\_QUERY extract a non-scalar value (T828, T823, T825, T829)
- JSON\_TABLE generate relational data (T821, T823-T827, T838)





#### Query Functions – Implementations

**MySQL** It provides only JSON\_TABLE; a number of additional functions are available

**DRACLE**<sup>\*</sup> It supports the basic functionality for all four functions; some "advanced" functionality is missing

PostgreSQL A number of functions and operators are available

SQLServer It provides only JSON\_VALUE and JSON\_QUERY; two additional functions are available



#### Indexing JSON Data

All four database engines supports indexes created on scalar values extracted via, for example, the JSON\_VALUE function

CREATE INDEX idx ON company (json\_value(json, '\$.companyname'))

In PostgreSQL indexes are supported for JSONB only

Obviously, if the structure of a JSON document is not known, it cannot be easily indexed and queried!

For a number of use cased inverted indexes are not good enough









#### MySQL. Limited

**ORACLE** It fully supports 5 features and partially supports 10 others

# PostgreSQL Limited (patches that are in review in the current CommitFest exist)

#### SQL Server<sup>®</sup> Limited



## Summary

The four database engines under investigation provides good JSON support

- If only part of the data has to be stored as JSON, reducing the number of involved database engines is a real possibility
- They should get better and better

In general, the SQL/JSON support is weak

- Because it was introduced in SQL:2016, it is not surprising
- Oracle Database has the best support





# Bibliography





ISO/IEC, 9075-2:2016 – Part 2: Foundation (SQL/Foundation). 2016

ISO/IEC, SQL Technical Reports – Part 6: SQL support for JavaScript Object Notation (JSON). 2017 Ecma International, ECMAScript 2018 Language Specification. 2018

Hammerschmidt, Beda, JSON in der Oracle Datenbank. DOAG Konferenz, 2018

Microsoft Corporation, JSON data in SQL Server. 2018

Oracle Corporation, MySQL 8.0 Reference Manual. 2018

Oracle Corporation, Oracle Database 18c – JSON Developer's Guide. 2018

The PostgreSQL Global Development Group, PostgreSQL 11 Documentation. 2018

Winand, Markus, What's New in SQL:2016. Modern SQL, 2017



## **Questions and Answers**

**Christian Antognini Senior Principal Consultant** 

christian.antognini@trivadis.com



https://antognini.ch/blog/

trivadis makes reasier.

Trivadis makes IT

easier.